## LTTE's international network under threat

tional network which sustains LTTE's militant campaign has come under threat, both internally

and externally. Meanwhile, the activities of the LTTE's plush office in London has come under fire. This has been not due to any external pressure, but to an internal conflict. A battle has erupted between two factions of the LTTE in London Two of LTTE's most powerful leaders, Seghar and Shanthan have declared war

against each other.
Shanthan, an engineer, who studied at a leading British University is in-charge of the LTTE office since Kittu was expelled from Lon-don by the British authorities in late 1992. Shanthan is relatively a new recruit, but his PR apability has been

rated outstanding. Seghar, a confident of bhakaran, who has en in the LTTE in Lon-

has been involved in day to day activities of the LTTE in London. Shan-than and Seghar has clashed over individual responsibilities.

Paris connection

Lawrence Tilagar. LTTE's International Representative, operat-ing from his office in Paris, has warned both Shanthan and Seghar to resolve their differences. Interestingly Shan-than is more popular in the pro LTTE Tamil

community in London even though Seghar is more powerful in the LTTE. This is because Seghar has developed a ersonal friendship with rabhakaran after a one to one meeting and with Anton Balasingham af-ter having worked with him in London. On the contrary, Shanthan has

no special friendship either with Prabhakaran with Balasingham. Insiders in the LTTE's international network in

Paris states that even

though Tilagar appears

Balasingham, heart to heart Tilagar prefers, Shanthan, Tilagar had confided to a friend that it is easier to work with Shanthan than with

Seghar. Meanwhile, other influential members in London, have decided to extend their support to Sharthan. A senior member, who did not wish to be identified, had confided in a close friend who has connections with the Paris office that Seghar Will not be allowed to continue working in the LTTE office in London. This has been a major blow to Seghar.

Seghar who was once in charge of the Student Wing of the LTTE in London, has once again ex-pressed his preference to operate independent-

As reported recently in a Tamil newspaper, these internal disputes within the LTTE, from

Shanthan clash, had badly dented the overseas image of the LTTE. The large support base which Mahat-taya had from expatri-ates, particularly from those in the Wanni area, has decided not to sup-port the LTTE further Some have gone a step beyond and expressed protest at Prabhakar-an's dictatorial qualities.

Democracy

Intercinal warfare or in fighting within the groups have been a common feature. In fact over 30 groups have perished this way. Even PLOTE was created when Uma Maheswaran fought with Prabhakar an, and they decided to part ways in the early 1980s. EPRLF was created when Padmanabha and Varadarajah Perum-al broke away from GUES and EROS. ENDLF and EPDP were created when EPRLF split in the late 1980s. The latest is the EROS

question

karan will never be killed by the Sri Lanka army or the Sri Lanka Air Force struggle of the Tamils

in London fear, whether the Pondibazzar shoot whether the death of the out between Prabha and LTTE is near. Con-cerned Tamils have in the streets of Lonwhether the death of the LTTE is near. Con-LTTE is near. Con-cerned Tamils have in the streets of Lon-prophesied that Prabha-don? This is the major im-the thing the con-tribute of the con-tribute of

## by a Special Correspondent

but by one his own lieutenants. Looking at Thamil Eelam. This will history, this may be a remain the impediment until inner party demo-cracy is restored within political and militant have been killed by Tamil themselves. The LTTE predomin-

ates in this list. Amirthalingam (TULF), Yoges-waran (TULF), Thambi-muttu (TULF), Dharmalingam (TULF), Alalasunderam (TULF), Sunder-am (PLOTE), Bobby/Das (TELO), Arulampalam (SLFP), Duraiappa (SLFP), Kanagaratnam (SLFP), Kirubhakaran (EPRLF), Padmanabha (EPRLF), Padmanaona (EPRLF), Sabaratnam (TELO), Oberoi Devan (TELA) etc.

towards an independent militant groups.

tancy is such that, this will not work. This is because to project tersecured, consolidated and tightly held by ter-ror. The Tamil militants are a victim of their own terror. So much of blood has been shed in intercinal warfare that the Tamil militant groups will never unite as long as Prabhakaran is the leader of the LTTE. This is the belief of many moderate Tamils today.

beration and freedom for their people but there is neither liberation not freedom even within these groups.
Thousands of youth, particularly the edu-cated and free thinking, have left these move-ments after fighting with

their leaders. The unfortunate have been killed by their own cadres and eaders for dissenting or trying to adopt a softer approach. The clash be-tween Prabhakaran and Mahattaya and Shanthan and Seghar cannot be very different from

Parallel rift Meanwhile, LTTE sources in London have

group rivalry — can be interpreted as an extension of inner party conflicts. This is because,

there is no democracy

within these groups. Famil youth have joined

these groups, with the

intention of securing li-

has been perceived as a progressive leader ment compared to Prabhakaran who has been a hardliner and a man with totalitarian views. Shanthan too has been a broadminded and a tolerant leader compared to Seghar who is known for his ruthless and arrogant qualities.

In many ways, the LTTE is splitting. Even if one cannot see it hap-pening physically, it is happening psychologi-cally. Hard liners like Prabhakaran and Seghar are beginning to clash with the more liberated Mahattavas and Shanthans.

will survive in the LTTE in London because of his Prabhakaran con-nection. This will mean a slowing down of LTTE activities and an impedi-ment to their progress in London. This will also drawn a parallel be-tween Mahattaya's mean that the LTTE

ahead. This will add to the weakening of the only in London but all over Europe

## External pressure

Last week the European Parliament in Strasberg, firmly ex-pressed their displeasure to a number of Governments, for allowing the LTTE to run offices in their capitals. This is the first time a body of such high standing has moved a resolution of this nature

against the LTTE

Informally, members and officials of the Par-lianient are expected to pressurize their colleagues to review their policy of allowing the LTTE to operate from their countries. This stand had been adopted following a visit of an following a visit of an European Parliamentary delegation to Sri Lanka

LTTE's latest designs

targeting its investors as well as the recent bomb blasts in Colombo by the LTTE is expected to strengthen their posi-

Some western na tions are seriously considering either b about legislative mea sures to prevent orga-nizations like the LTTE from exploiting the loopholes of democracy by establishing their offices in their countries

The input made by the Lanka to pressurize the Governments of the West to act in this direc-tion has been inadequ-ate. Even after the statement of the European parliament, it is unfor-tunate that the Sri Lankan Ministry of Foreign Affairs have made use of this opportunity to protest to the Governments in Europe, particularly France and Lon-don, where the LTTE operates in full swing.