

Asoka Weerasinghe

From: "C Lokuliyana" <pol.sambola6@gmail.com>
To: "Gamini Gunawardane" <gunawardanegamini@gmail.com>
Cc: "M. Gunasekera" <mgunasekera@sympatico.ca>; "Sugath Kulatunga" <kulatung@gmail.com>;
 "G Goonetilleka" <alwisg22@iinet.net.au>; "Mahes Ladduwahetty"
 <mahes.ladduwahetty@gmail.com>; "Nimal Liyanage" <nimalliy@tpg.com.au>; "Gunadasa
 Amarasekera" <ssamara@lanka.ccom.lk>; "Ranjit & Thilani de Silva" <ranthila@gmail.com>;
 "Asoka & Fiona Yapa" <asoka_yapa@yahoo.com>; "Rohan Basnayake"
 <rbasnayake@rogers.com>; <vichara2@gmail.com>; "Harsha Perera"
 <harshap@bigpond.net.au>; "Anil Amarasekera" <colanil@sltnet.lk>; "Dick Perera"
 <dickperera@gmail.com>; "Susantha Goonatilake" <susanthag@hotmail.com>; "Shenali
 Waduge" <shenali.waduge@capitolaei.com>; "Senaka Weeraratna"
 <senaka.weera@gmail.com>; "Sarath Hemac." <sarath722002@yahoo.com>; "MOHAN
 KUMARANTE" <mohankum@msn.com>; "Kith de Silva" <kithdesilva@gmail.com>; "Hemal
 Wijayarathne" <hemalw727@gmail.com>; "hassinaUSA" <hassinal@gmail.com>; "Dimuth
 Gunawardena" <dimuth.gunawardena53@gmail.com>; "Chulani Wickramasinghe"
 <lankawick@sbcglobal.net>; "Channa Lokuliyana" <pol.sambola@gmail.com>; "chanaka
 bandara" <chanakab@hotmail.com>; "1 Sumith De Silva New York Society of United Sri Lankans"
 <unilankamail@aol.com>; "Douglas Wickramaratne" <dwickramaratne@hotmail.com>; "C I
 Galapathy" <galbandas4@bigpond.com>; "Lal Dassanayake" <suedass3@hotmail.com>;
 "Ajantha Premarathne" <ajantats@emirates.net.ae>; "Gamini Edirisinghe"
 <gamniediri@gmail.com>; "Dr Chula Rajapakse" <rajapaks@ihug.co.nz>;
 <dazajaya@bigpond.com>; "peacetalk" <peacetalknow@yahoo.com>; "Anjalika Silva"
 <anjalikasilva@gmail.com>; "Ira de Silva" <ira.desilva@sympatico.ca>; "Mahes Abey ...
 Ladduwahetty" <mahnel@aol.com>; "Gamini Edirisinghe" <gaminiediri@gmail.com>; "Ranjith
 Soysa" <ranjiths@spur.asn.au>; "chandre.dharma-wardana" <place.names@yahoo.com>;
 "Dilrook Kannangara" <dilrookk@yahoo.com>; "Weerasinghe Weerasinghe"
 <weerasin@magma.ca>; "Chitra Mendis" <chitramendis@hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2012 7:08 PM
Subject: Re: REVISED Petition to HE the Pres on Replacing 13A

Jayashree!

Let's take leaf from the book that is the devil's bosom.

- 1) **Sampanthan** wants Justice for the Tamil people, Police powers, Land rights.
- 2) **Karunanidhi** says Eelam is his Dream.
- 3) **Jayalitha** wants our President tried in in ICJ for war crimes and Genocide.
- 4) **British Tamil forum** says that the Sri Lankan State is colonising the Tamil people's homeland while the LLRC is
 not going far enough leaving room for perpetual formations of commissions.
- 5) **Global Tamil Forum** wants Tamil Peoples right to self-determination and democratic self-rule in their traditional homeland.
- 6) **National Christian Council** wants the Govt to fully implement the LLRC recommendations.
- 7) **Rudra** wants full intervention by UN Human Rights Council in our country.
- 8) **RayappuJ** moves to recommend expeditious implementation of the LLRC report.

I can go on.. but this would hopefully suffice.

Though they may not take the same line, without exception their final aim is Eelam. Ones victory is not considered to be at the detriment of the other. They do not care if they get 1/5th of what other Tamils ask, or if others claim is fuller than own... each step brings them closer to Eelam. If ten fails one succeed. The other nine will fight on from that juncture.

If I was a Tamil, I would vote for ALL of them.

Do the sinhalese want a Grama kottasa or a District as a unit for administrative decentralisation or repeal

4/8/2012

of 13A? Locally or Expatriate initiated? G.Amarasekara, Gunasekara, Goonatilake, Goonetilleka - bring us 15 petitions - we will sign all. I write this in FULL CONSULTATION of our members. Do not expect one fix to cure all.

If any of you can do something to secure our sovereignty one step away from Eelam we will be grateful. There will be others to take courage from that victory and carry the fight there on.

One voice does not mean - only one plan.

Please go ahead with all your plans.. we will support you, as long as you implement them.

C

On 8 April 2012 20:17, Gamini Gunawardane <gunawardanegamini@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Gunasekera,

With all due respect to everyone.

My suggestion re the 3 Ratas. May not result in creating 3 separate Ratas. It did not create such a situation for 16 centuries. This does not dilute the strength the strength of the centre in a Unitary state as it did not happen to the ancient capital, Anuradhapura. It will remain the Capital as the central seat of Administration. The new amendment could be drafted in such a way.

It is not an emotional concept as somebody observed but a historical fact - time tested.

The merit of my suggestion is that :

1. Ensures a balance of ethnic distribution in all 3 Ratas, leaving the Sinhalese in a majority in all 3 Ratas.(This would meet the fears of Susantha too.)
2. Eliminates the possible negative outcomes of an attempt to remove the 13th A, by two thirds' majority or by a referendum.
3. We could justify it on the ground that we are only going back to a historical division of the country that prevailed in the hey day of the nation which eliminated all conflicts. May be it could be thus internationally justified.

However I have no problem if this idea is rejected. What we want is the best solution that will meet our aspirations. It doesn't matter whose idea is adopted.

Gamini Gunawardane

On Sun, Apr 8, 2012 at 10:36 PM, M. Gunasekera <mgunasekera@sympatico.ca> wrote:

Dear Mahes, Gamani, and Sugath,

As pointed out by Mahes, to change the provisions of Article 80(3) the allowed procedure for amending the Constitution will have to be followed such as a 2/3rd majority vote in parliament. The LLRC has suggested that more time be allowed to the public to have the legality of Bills reviewed by the Supreme Court, as the time available at present to challenge any adverse laws contained in the Bill is One to Three days which is deemed insufficient.

The 13th Amendment has already been submitted to the Supreme Court and ruled as being in order before adoption by the requisite majority in the House. Even if Article 80(3)

4/8/2012